### ATTACHMENT 8. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS – WATER SUPPLY COSTS AND BENEFITS

Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins

#### Attachments to this Section

- Project Benefits and Avoided Costs Narrative
- Tables 10/14, 15, 16, and 18 (Table 17 not included; reduced electricity costs associated with pumping not quantifiable at this time).

# **Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins Sonoma County Water Agency**

Benefit Type	Benefit Amount	Unit of Measure	Economic Unit	Water Body	303d yes/no
Sediment Reduction	Flood storage and conveyance sufficient to protect the surrounding community from the damages associated with the one in one hundred year flood. See Avoided Costs for Benefit Amount.	See Avoided Costs	See Avoided Costs	Copeland Creek	Yes
Habitat Restoration, Invasive Plant Removal, and Improved Fish Passage	<ul> <li>6,600 lineal feet of high quality riparian corridor with a diversity of canopy tiers to provide fish, invertebrate and wildlife habitat.</li> <li>Improved water quality functions: for average and greater magnitude flows, as well as, sediment collection and storage; nutrient uptake and conversion and bacterial reduction</li> <li>Flood storage and conveyance sufficient to protect the surrounding community from the damages associated with the one in one hundred year flood.</li> <li>Riparian corridor bird habitat and bird watching for hikers who use the creekside trail.</li> <li>Riparian corridor and floodplain improvements reduce impaired sediment and nutrient conditions downstream in the Laguna de Santa Rosa</li> </ul>	1.25 Stream miles (6,600 linear feet) of riparian habitat; 10 acres of non- native invasive shrubs and trees restored by strategically removing exotics and replanting with 2,700 plants. Assumes value of one acre of restored habitat is \$3,880	Water quality control value estimated at \$6,700/hectare /year; improved recreation estimated at \$3,000/hectare /year (PAY – IUCN, Gland, Switzerland)	Copeland Creek	Yes

## **Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins Sonoma County Water Agency**

Project Benefi	ts Worksheet				
Benefit Type	Benefit Amount	Unit of Measure	Economic Unit	Water Body	303d yes/no
	<ul> <li>Improved passage and outmigration conditions for Threatened steelhead.</li> <li>\$38,800</li> </ul>				
Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	\$45,000	75 acre-feet/year	\$600 /acre-foot (wholesale water rate)	Copeland Creek	Yes
Environmental Benefit of base flow supply to the stream	\$5,625	75 acre-feet/year	\$75/acre-foot <sup>1</sup>	Copeland Creek	Yes
Flood Control and Increased Storm Water Detention	Present Value of Future Benefits: \$13,677,400  Net Present Value: \$4,289,059  Benefit Cost Ratio: 1.457  Annual Benefit: \$867,753	100 year flood protection for Rohnert Park	Based on FRAM storm water model with property estimates from previous flood observations	Copeland Creek	Yes
	Annual Benefit: \$867,753				

<sup>1.</sup> The literature suggests that agricultural water use has a value of \$53 per acre-foot, municipal water use has a value of \$112 per acre-foot, and water left instream for environmental purposes, including salmonid habitat, has a value of \$75 per acre-foot (Brown 2007)

# **Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins Sonoma County Water Agency**

Avoided Costs of	f Future Project	s Worksheet		
Avoided Cost Type	Avoided Cost Amount	Unit of Measure	Economic Unit	Avoided Cost Description
Construction of conveyance capacity upgrades (e.g. culverts, storm drains, etc.)	\$1 million	Difference between conveyance upgrades and detention basins	Engineer's estimate	Based on the cost of conveyance upgrades within the Copeland Creek Watershed, detention basins are the most cost effective method of providing 100 year flood protection for Rohnert Park's downstream urban area
Future sediment removal and vegetation management	\$20,000/year	Reduction in current budgeted costs; focused sediment reduction at a rate of 2,000 cubic yards per year will reduce cost by approximately \$5/cubic yard with much reduced environmental damage	Budget estimate; Reduced cost/cubic yard with focused approach	Decrease in operational costs associated with in-stream sediment removal and vegetation management based on historical costs

Project Benefit N	larrative Tab	le				
Benefit Type	Beneficiary	When Benefits will be Received	Estimates of Without- Project Conditions		Description of Methods Used to Estimate	Other: Adverse Effects, Uncertainty of the Benefits, Statewide Benefits
Flood Control (Hazard Reduction) and Increased Storm Water Detention	Rohnert Park & Sonoma County Water Agency	2015	Continued flooding of Rohnert Park properties and structures with accompanying risks to life and properties and impact on transportation corridors	Upon construction of detention basins will achieve flood control within 100 year storm limits	Preliminary engineering analysis of project alternatives (to contain 100-yr flows within channel) versus qualitative assessment of existing flooding conditions	
Increased Water Supply/Reliability and Environmental Benefit of base flow supply to the stream	Rohnert Park & Sonoma County Water Agency	2014	Continued reliance on imported water and reduced groundwater recharge potential	Increase aquifer recharge, decrease reliance on imported water, increase base flow to the stream with estimated benefits of \$5,625 to \$45,000 per year.	Cost/acre foot of water	Requires geotechnical and design studies to determine recharge capacity of soils
Sediment Reduction	Rohnert Park & Sonoma County Water Agency	2011-2014	Continued poor habitat conditions for native warm water and coldwater fisheries. Salmonid passage difficult at low and high flows. Detriment to habitat and fish passage. Continued non-native energy inputs (leaf drop, runoff, root-zone interactions) from stream side vegetation. Water quality improvements not realized that result from native plantings and strategic sediment removal. Increased flood	Decrease operational costs associated with continued in-stream sediment removal. Reduce downstream sediment in Laguna de Santa Rosa and lower Russian River and siltation impacts on waterways interconnected with Copeland Creek. Improved habitat conditions for warm and cold water fisheries. Healthier invertebrate populations resulting from native energy inputs. Improved water quality conditions resulting from development of a thalweg,	Based on last major sediment removal project in Copeland Creek.	

Project Benefit N	Narrative Tab	le				
Benefit Type	Beneficiary	When Benefits will be Received	Estimates of Without- Project Conditions	Estimates of With-Project Conditions	Description of Methods Used to Estimate	Other: Adverse Effects, Uncertainty of the Benefits, Statewide Benefits
			potential.	closed canopy, and improved riparian buffer filtering (native vegetation filtering runoff before it enters the channel)		
Habitat Restoration with Invasive Plant Removal	Rohnert Park & Sonoma County Water Agency	20011- 2014	Reduced habitat enhancement and restoration for riparian and aquatic species with continued poor out migration and passage conditions for salmonids.	Closing canopy will decrease operational costs associated with vegetation management. Establishing focused sediment collection areas will decrease cost associated with sediment removal. Improve fish habitat and wildlife habitat and passage by creating and enhancing riparian habitat	Based on outcomes of similar projects in other parts of county.	

Benefit Type	Number of downstream water bodies affected	Water body names	Beneficial uses* for the water bodies affected by the Project	The change in the beneficial-use* activity for the affected portion of the water body	The total load reduction of pollutants in the affected water body
Sediment Reduction	One	Laguna de Santa Rosa	Reducing sediment in Copeland Creek will result in less sediment in the Laguna.	Full effect not realized until construction of detention basins.	Requires further study
Habitat Restoration with Invasive Plant Removal	One	Laguna de Santa Rosa	Improve water quality and moderate temperatures to improve migratory corridor for various species.	Reduce invasive plant species including ludwigia by decreasing downstream movement of plants.	Requires further study
Flood Control and Increased Storm Water Detention	One	Laguna de Santa Rosa	Attenuated peak flows from storm water detention in Copeland Creek.	Some incremental flood control until construction of detention basins.	Requires further study

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins - Sonoma County Water Agency and Team Partners

Note: Because benefits assoicated with all project elements except the storm water detention basins are either unquantifiable or expected to be small, only costs and benefits associated with the stormwater detention basins have been tabulated.

	Tables '	10 and 14		ost of Flood Da	_	-	/Water Supply	Project	
	Initial Costs	0 "	154 : 4	0 1		,			
YEAR	(a)	(b)	(c)	nance Costs (d)	(0)	/ <b>f</b> \	(a)	(h)	/i\
TEAR	(a)	(D)	(C)	(u)	(e)	(f)	(g)		(i)
	Total Project						Total	Discount Factor	Discounted Costs
	Budget						Costs		(g) x (h)
	(row (i), Total						(a) +		
	column)	Admin	Operation	Maintenance	Replacement	Other	(b)+(f)		
2009			Co.d/				\$0	1.000	\$0
2010			Sed/ channel mods	Detention Basins	Veg Replacement		\$0	0.943	\$0
2011		\$ 2,000	\$ 50,000		-		\$52,000	0.89	\$46,280
2012	\$ 938,941	\$ 2,000	\$ 50,000				\$990,941	0.84	\$832,390
2013	\$ 2,816,823	\$ 2,000	\$ 50,000				\$2,868,823	0.792	\$2,272,108
2014	\$ 5,633,646	\$ 2,000	\$ 50,000				\$5,685,646	0.747	\$4,247,178
2015		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.705	\$58,656
2016		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.665	\$55,328
2017		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.627	\$52,166
2018		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.592	\$49,254
2019		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000		\$104,000	0.558	\$58,032
2020		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.527	\$43,846
2021		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.497	\$41,350
2022		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.469	\$39,021
2023		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.442	\$36,774
2024		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.417	\$34,694
2025		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.394	\$32,781
2026		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.371	\$30,867
2027		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.35	\$29,120
2028		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.331	\$27,539
2029		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000		\$104,000	0.312	\$32,448
2030		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.294	\$24,461
2031		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.278	\$23,130
2032		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.262	\$21,798
2033		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.247	\$20,550
2034		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.233	\$19,386
2035		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.22	\$18,304
2036		\$ 3,200					\$83,200	0.207	\$17,222
2037		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.196	\$16,307
2038		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	ф 00.000		\$83,200	0.185	\$15,392
2039		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000		\$104,000	0.174	\$18,096
2040		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.164	\$13,645
2041		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.155	\$12,896
2042		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.146	\$12,147
2043		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000 \$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.138	\$11,482
2044		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000 \$ 50,000				\$83,200	0.13 0.123	\$10,816
2045		\$ 3,200 \$ 3,200					\$83,200		\$10,234
2046		\$ 3,200 \$ 3,200	\$ 50,000 \$ 50,000	\$ 30,000 \$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.116 0.109	\$9,651
2047		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200 \$83,200	0.109	\$9,069 \$8,570
2049		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000			0.103	
2043		Ψ +,000	φ 50,000	ψ 30,000	φ 20,000		\$104,000	บ.บฮา	\$10,088

	Tables 10 and 14 – Annual Cost of Flood Damage Reduction Project/Water Supply Project (All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)									
	Initial Costs	Operations	and Mainte	nance Costs						
YEAR	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	
	Total Project						Total	Discount Factor	Discounted Costs	
	Budget						Costs		(g) x (h)	
	(row (i), Total column)	Admin	Operation	Maintenance	Replacement	Other	(a) + (b)+(f)			
2050		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.092	\$7,654	
2051		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.087	\$7,238	
2052		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.082	\$6,822	
2053		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.077	\$6,406	
2054		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.073	\$6,074	
2055		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.069	\$5,741	
2056		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.065	\$5,408	
2057		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.061	\$5,075	
2058		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.058	\$4,826	
2059		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000		\$104,000	0.054	\$5,616	
2060		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.051	\$4,243	
2061		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.048	\$3,994	
2062		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.046	\$3,827	
2063		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.043	\$3,578	
2064		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.041	\$3,411	
Project Life	Life									
				Total Pres	sent Value of D	iscounted (	Costs (Sum of	Column (i))	\$8,402,992	

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins - Sonoma County Water Agency and Team Partners

Agency a	and Team Partners		Table 15	– Annual Wa	ter Supply	Rene	efits			
				sts should b						
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(	(g)	(h)	(i)	j
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x
	Increased Water		,			_	000	\$45,000	1.000	\$45,000
2009	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	1.000	\$5,625
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75			
2010	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.943	\$42,435
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625 \$45,000	0.943	\$5,304 \$40,050
2011	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.89	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.89	\$5,006
2012	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.84	\$37,800
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$4,725
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.84	\$35,640
2013	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.792	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.792	\$4,455
	Increased Water							\$45,000		\$33,615
2014	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.747	\$4,202
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.747	
2015	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.705	\$31,725
2010	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	uore reet	0	70	70	Ψ	000	\$5,625		\$3,966
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.705	#00.00F
2016	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000 \$5,625	0.665	\$29,925 \$3,741
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.665	
2017	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.627	\$28,215
	Increased Instream Flows for					*		\$5,625		\$3,527
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.627	
2018	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.592	\$26,640
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$3,330
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.592	\$25,110
2019	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.558	\$3,139
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	φυ,025	0.558	<b>क</b> उ, १ <b>उ</b> घ
2020	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.527	\$23,715
2020	Supply, Incliability	2010 1001	•			Ψ	550		J.U.	

				– Annual Wa						
( )	(1)	( )		sts should be			•	(1.)	(1)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)	(i)	j
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x
	Increased Instream	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	. ,			\$5,625		\$2,964
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.527	
2021	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.497	\$22,365
-	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental				-	*		\$5,625		\$2,796
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.497	
2022	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000 \$5,625	0.469	\$21,105 \$2,638
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	φ5,025	0.469	Ψ2,036
0000	Increased Water	(	0	75	75	•	000	\$45,000	0.440	\$19,890
2023	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.442	\$2,486
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.442	
2024	Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.417	\$18,765
2024	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acie-leet		73	73	Ψ	000	\$5,625	0.417	\$2,346
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.417	
2025	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.394	\$17,730
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$2,216
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.394	
2026	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.371	\$16,695
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental				7-			\$5,625	0.074	\$2,087
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.371	\$15,750
2027	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.35	\$1,969
	Flows for Environmental									
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	<b>#45.000</b>	0.35	<b>#44.00</b>
2028	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.331	\$14,895
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$1,862
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	<b>#</b> 45.000	0.331	044010
2029	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.312	\$14,040
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	ِ ۔						\$5,625		\$1,755
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	<b>C45.000</b>	0.312	¢40.000
2030	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000 \$5,625	0.294	\$13,230 \$1,654
	Flows for Environmental		2	7-	7-	_			0.001	
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.294	\$12,510
2031	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.278	\$1,564
	Flows for Environmental		•					\$5,625	0.0==	φ1,364
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.278	

				– Annual Wa						
(0)	(b)	(0)		sts should be				(b)	(i)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)	(i)	J
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x
0000	Increased Water	(	0	75		_	000	\$45,000	0.000	\$11,790
2032	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.262	\$1,474
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	φ5,025	0.262	φ1,474
	Increased Water							\$45,000	0.04=	\$11,115
2033	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	<b>#5.005</b>	0.247	<b>#4.000</b>
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.247	\$1,389
2034	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.233	\$10,485
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$1,311
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	A 17 000	0.233	<b>A</b> 2 2 2 2 2
2035	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.22	\$9,900
	Increased Instream							\$5,625		\$1,238
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.22	
	Increased Water	acre-reet		7.5	73	Ψ	73	\$45,000	0.22	\$9,315
2036	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.207	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$1,164
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.207	
2037	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.196	\$8,820
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.196	\$1,103
	Increased Water	acre-reer	0	73	73	Ψ	73	\$45,000	0.190	\$8,325
2038	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.185	
	Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$1,041
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.185	
2039	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.174	\$7,830
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$979
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.174	
2040	Increased Water	acre foot	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.164	\$7,380
2040	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	U	10	10	Φ	000	\$5,625	0.104	\$923
	Flows for Environmental							Ç0,020		¥023
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.164	\$6,975
2041	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.155	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	ann fant	0	75	75	·	75	\$5,625	0.455	\$872
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.155	\$6,570
2042	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.146	
	Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$821
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	¢45.000	0.146	<b>\$6.040</b>
2043	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.138	\$6,210

				– Annual Wa						
(a)	(b)	(a)	•	sts should be				(b)	(i)	l :
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)	(i)	J
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x (i)
	Increased Instream	(010)			(4)		4.40	\$5,625	. doto.	\$776
	Flows for Environmental Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.138	·
2044	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.13	\$5,850
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.13	\$731
	Increased Water	dore reet						\$45,000		\$5,535
2045	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.123	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.123	\$692
2046	Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.116	\$5,220
2040	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	acre-reet	0	73	73	Ψ	000	\$5,625	0.110	\$653
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.116	
2047	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.109	\$4,905
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$613
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.109	• • • • •
2048	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.103	\$4,635
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$579
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.103	\$4,365
2049	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.097	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.097	\$546
2050	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.092	\$4,140
2000	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	doro root				*	000	\$5,625	0.002	\$518
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	<b>0.17</b> 0.00	0.092	<b>***</b>
2051	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.087	\$3,915
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental					*		\$5,625	0.05=	\$489
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.087	\$3,690
2052	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.082	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.082	\$461
2052	Increased Water							\$45,000		\$3,465
2053	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.077	\$433
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.077	
2054	Increased Water							\$45,000		\$3,285
2054	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.073	\$411
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.073	
	raiposes	a010-1001	U	13	13	Ψ	73		0.073	

Table 15 – Annual Water Supply Benefits (All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)										
(a)	(b)	(b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i)							i	
(-)	(4)	Mea-sure	(-)	(=)	Change Resulting from		(3)	(*)	(7)	Discounted
	Type of Benefit	of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Project (e) - (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Benefits (h) x
2055	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.069	\$3,105
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental					•		\$5,625		\$388
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.069	\$2,925
2056	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.065	\$366
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	φ5,625	0.065	φ300
0057	Increased Water							\$45,000		\$2,745
2057	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.061	\$343
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.061	
2058	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.058	\$2,610
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental		-			*		\$5,625		\$326
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	0.15.000	0.058	00.400
2059	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.054	\$2,430
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.054	\$304
2060	Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.051	\$2,295
2000	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-reet	0	73	73	Ψ	000	\$5,625	0.031	\$287
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.051	
2061	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.048	\$2,160
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$270
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	¢45,000	0.048	¢0.070
2062	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.046	\$2,070
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental			7.5	7.5	•	7.5	\$5,625	0.040	\$259
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$0	0.046	\$0
2063	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0		0	\$	600	\$5,625	0.043	\$242
	Environmental Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.043	\$1,845
2064	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.041	, ,
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.041	\$231
Project										
Life				Total Prese	Lent Value of	Disc	counted	Costs (Sum of 0	[ Column (i))	\$858,336
Total Present Value of Discounted Costs (Sum of Column (i)) \$85  Comment Box										, ,

Avoided Project: Construction of conveyance capacity upgrades (e.g. culverts, storm drains, etc.) and future sediment removal and vegetation management

etc.) and future sediment removal and vegetation management  Table 16 - Annual Cost of Avoided Projects									
(All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)									
Initial Costs Operations and Maintenance Costs									
YEAR	(a)	(b)		(c)	(g)	(i)			
					Total	Discount Factor	Discounted Costs		
		Avoided			Costs		(g) x (h)		
	Avoided Capital Costs	Replacem ent Costs	-	voided M Costs	(a) + (b)+(f)				
2009	000.0	on costs	-	00010	\$0	1.000	\$0		
2010					\$0	0.943	\$0		
2011					\$0	0.89	\$0		
2012	\$ 1,000,000		\$	20,000	\$1,020,000	0.84	\$856,800		
2013			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.792	\$15,840		
2014			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.747	\$14,940		
2015			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.705	\$14,100		
2016			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.665	\$13,300		
2017			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.627	\$12,540		
2018			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.592	\$11,840		
2019			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.558	\$11,160		
2020			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.527	\$10,540		
2021			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.497	\$9,940		
2022			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.469	\$9,380		
2023			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.442	\$8,840		
2024			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.417	\$8,340		
2025			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.394	\$7,880		
2026			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.371	\$7,420		
2027			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.35	\$7,000		
2028			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.331	\$6,620		
2029			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.312	\$6,020		
2030			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.294	\$5,880		
2031			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.278	\$5,560		
2032			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.262	\$5,300		
2032			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.202	\$4,940		
2034			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.233	\$4,940		
2035			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.22	\$4,400		
2036			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.207	\$4,400		
2037			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.207	\$4,140		
2038			\$	20,000		0.196	\$3,920		
2039			\$	20,000	\$20,000 \$20,000	0.163	\$3,700		
2039			\$	20,000		0.174			
2040			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.155	\$3,280		
2041			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.155	\$3,100		
2042			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.146	\$2,920		
2043				20,000	\$20,000		\$2,760		
2044			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.13 0.123	\$2,600		
2045			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.123	\$2,460		
2046			\$		\$20,000	0.116	\$2,320		
2047			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.109	\$2,180		
2049			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.103	\$2,060		
2049			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.097	\$1,940		
2050			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.092	\$1,840 \$1,740		
					\$20,000		\$1,740		
2052			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.082	\$1,640		

Table 16 - Annual Cost of Avoided Projects (All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)									
	Initial Costs	Operations							
YEAR	(a)	(b)	(c)	(i)					
				Total	Discount Factor	Discounted Costs			
		Avoided		Costs		(g) x (h)			
	Avoided Capital Costs	Replacem ent Costs	Avoided O&M Costs	(a) + (b)+(f)					
2053			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.077	\$1,540			
2054			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.073	\$1,460			
2055			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.069	\$1,380			
2056			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.065	\$1,300			
2057			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.061	\$1,220			
2058			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.058	\$1,160			
2059			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.054	\$1,080			
2060			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.051	\$1,020			
2061			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.048	\$960			
2062			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.046	\$920			
2063			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.043	\$860			
2064			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.041	\$820			
Project Life				\$0		\$0			
	Total Present Value of Discounted Costs (Sum of Column (i)) \$1,123,200								
Comment Box									

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins -

### **Table 17 – Annual Other Water Supply Benefits**

Reduced electricity costs associated with pumping not quantifiable at this time.

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins - Sonoma County Water Agency and Team Partners

Table 18 – Total Water Supply Benefits									
Total Discounted		Total Discounted		Other Discounted		Total Present Value of			
Water Supply		Avoided Project		Water Supply		Discounted Benefits (d)			
Benefits (a)		Costs (b)		Benefits (c)		(a) + (c) or (b) + (c)			
\$	858,336	\$	1,123,200	\$ -	-	\$	1,981,536		